LUCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

### BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED
PEDERAL COLOR
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

In the matter of	)	CFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
JAMES A. KAY, JR.	)	WT Docket No. 94-147
Licensee of One Hundred Fifty Two Part 90 Licenses in the Los Angeles, California Area	) )	

TO: The Commission

#### **MOTION TO STRIKE**

JAMES A. KAY, JR. ("Kay"), by his attorneys, moves to strike the "Wireless Telecommunications Bureau's Exceptions and Brief" filed on October 12, 1999 ("Brief"). In support, the following is respectfully submitted.

The Wireless Bureau ("Bureau") submitted its Brief pursuant to Section 1.276 of the Commission's rules. However, the Brief does not follow the requirements of Section 1.276(a)(2) of the Commission's rules. Specifically, that section of the rule states as follows:

"Exceptions shall be consolidated with argument in a supporting brief and shall not be submitted separately. As used in this subpart, the term 'exceptions' means the document consolidating the exceptions and supporting brief. The brief shall contain (i) a table of contents, (ii) a table of citations, (iii) a concise statement of the case, (iv) a statement of the questions of law presented, and (v) the argument, presenting clearly the points of fact and law relied upon in support of the position taken on each question, with specific references to the record and all legal and other materials relied on."

The Bureau's Brief does not present a statement of the questions of law presented. See attached Declarations of Messrs. Peltzman, Shainis and Keller. Furthermore, the Bureau does not request a waiver of Section 1.276(a)(2).

In addition, the Bureau's Exceptions are violative of Section 1.277(c) of the Commission's rules. Specifically, that Section states, in pertinent part, as follows:

No. of Copies rec'd DHHH List ABCDE ORIGINAL

Kay. Mo to Stirke.doc [msg 11-02-99]

"Except by special permission, the consolidated brief and exception will not be accepted if the exception and argument exceed 25 double-spaced typewritten pages in length. (The table of contents and table of citations are not counted in the 25-page limit; however, all other contents to and attachments to the brief are counted (emphasis supplied)."

The Bureau's exceptions including the attachments amount to thirty-five (35) pages.<sup>1</sup>

The Bureau on September 29, 1999, filed a "Motion for Extension of Page Limitation." By

Order, FCC 99I-19, released October 7, 1999, the Bureau was granted permission to file a brief

not to exceed thirty (30) pages.<sup>2</sup> The Bureau has flagrantly defied the Commission's Order. It is

ironic that the Bureau, which expects licensees to adhere strictly to the Commission's rules, even
when those rules and regulations are incredibly complex, is unable to adhere to the most

straightforward rule. Moreover, the Bureau's failure to adhere to the Commission's Order on the
page limitation is particularly unsettling. The Bureau's conduct is under the most favorable light
at best termed impudent.

In view of the foregoing, the Bureau's Exceptions and Brief should be stricken.

Respectfully Submitted,

James A. Kay, Jr.

By:

Robert J. Keller Law Offices of Robert J. Keller, P.C. 4200 Wisconsin Avenue, N.W. Suite 106-233 Washington, DC 20016-2143

Telephone: 301-320-5355 Facsimile: 301-229-6975 Aaron P. Shainis

Shainis & Peltzman, Chartered

1901 L Street, N.W.

Suite 290

Washington, DC 20036

Telephone: 202-293-0011 Facsimile: 202-293-0810

November 2, 1999

Text: 29 pages, attachments: 6 pages.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Kay was also permitted to file a reply not to exceed thirty (30) pages.

## **ATTORNEY'S DECLARATION**

AARON P. SHAINIS, states under penalty perjury the following:

I have reviewed the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau's Exceptions and Brief in WT Docket No. 94-147 which was filed on October 12, 1999. The submission does not contain a statement of the questions of law presented.

November 2, 1999

KAY Dec.doc

# **ATTORNEY'S DECLARATION**

ROBERT J. KELLER, states under penalty perjury the following:

Ιh	have reviewed the Wireless Telecommunication	s Bureau's Exceptions and Brief in WT
Docket No	to. 94-147 which was filed on October 12, 1999.	The submission does not contain a
statement	of the questions of law presented.	

November 2, 1999

ROBERT J. KELLER

## **ATTORNEY'S DECLARATION**

LEE J. PELTZMAN, states under penalty perjury the following:

I have reviewed the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau's Exceptions and Brief in WT Docket No. 94-147 which was filed on October 12, 1999. The submission does not contain a statement of the questions of law presented.

November 2, 1999

LEE J. PELIZMAN

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I, Michael S. Goldstein, secretary at the law firm of Shainis & Peltzman, Chartered, this 2nd day of November, 1999, had hand-delivered a copy of the foregoing pleading to the following:

Thomas Sugrue, Chief Wireless Telecommunications Bureau Federal Communications Commission 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Gary P. Schonman, Chief Compliance & Litigation Branch Federal Communications Commission 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

William H. Knowles-Kellett, Esq. John J. Schauble, Esq. Wireless Telecommunications Branch Federal Communications Commission 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

John Riffer, Esq. Federal Communications Commission 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, S.W.

Washington, D.C. 20554

Michael S Goldstein